

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,438	11/28/2003	Hyungiun Kim	YOR920030207US1	1230
75	7590 05/19/2005		EXAMINER	
David Aker			STEVENSON, ANDRE C	
23 Southern Ro	ad			
Hartsdale, NY 10530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	10/724,438	KIM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAII INC DATE of this commission is	Andre' C. Stevenson	2812
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-35</u> is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-26,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,14,27,28</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/28/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Detailed Action

Election/Restrictions

During a telephone conversation with David Aker on May 02, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-30. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 31-35 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on November 28, 2003 was filed before the first action on the merits. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The term "substantially", in claim 9, 15, 17, 23 and 30, is a relative term, which renders the claims indefinite. The terms "period" and "temperature" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. As follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/724,438

Art Unit: 2812

Claim #9

"Substantially two seconds".

Claim #15

"Substantially 10 minutes".

Claim #17

"Substantially 350° C".

Claim #23

"Substantially 10 minutes".

Claim #30

"Substantially 350° C".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 10-12, 15-21, 24-26, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fair (U.S. Pat. No.6,849,122 B1, Patented 02/01/05, Filed 03/07/02), above, and in view of Buskirk et al. (U.S. Pat. No.6,010,744, Patented 01/04/00, Filed 12/23/97).

Fair substantially shows the claimed invention, as shown in figures 1-6 and corresponding text, in a similar method, pertaining to claim #1, a method for depositing

ruthenium on a substrate (Abstract; column 5, lines 37-44), and depositing ruthenium on the exposed substrate by atomic layer deposition (column 3, lines 56-67; column 4, lines 1-15). Pertaining to claim #2, Fair shows, a method of claim 1, wherein the substrate is selected from the group consisting of silicon dioxide, methyl silsesquioxane, hydrogen silsesquioxane, other low dielectric constant materials, and high dielectric constant oxide substrates (column 6, lines 55-65). Pertaining to claim #3, Fair shows, method of claim 1, wherein said plasma is an oxygen plasma (column 10, lines 24-36). Pertaining to claim #4, Fair shows, a method wherein the oxygen plasma is generated by passing molecular oxygen through a plasma generation source to produce activated radicals to thereby generate a large number of nucleation sites on said substrate (column 10, lines 24-36). Pertaining to claim #5, Fair shows, a method, wherein said plasma is a nitrogen plasma (column 10, lines 24-36). The Examiner notes that the prior art fails to mention explicitly a "nitrogen" plasma. However, it does state in column 10, line 26-29, that the liberation of the ligand or otherwise removing of the organic moieties can be accomplished with a flux of atomic oxygen or other highly reactive oxidizing species. The Examiner takes the position that Nitrogen falls within the category of other highly reactive oxidizing species, and that to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created, it would be a matter of choice to have chosen Nitrogen instead of oxygen as a reactive oxidizing agent to remove any organic moieties. For this reason, the Examiner takes the position that the two are equivalent. Pertaining to claim #6, Fair shows, a method of claim 5, wherein the nitrogen plasma is generated by passing molecular nitrogen through a plasma generation source to produce activated radicals to thereby generate a large number of nucleation sites on said substrate (column 10, lines 24-36). The Examiner notes that the prior art fails to mention explicitly a

"nitrogen" plasma. However, it does state in column 10, line 26-29, that the liberation of the ligand or otherwise removing of the organic moieties can be accomplished with a flux of atomic oxygen or other highly reactive oxidizing species. The Examiner takes the position that Nitrogen falls within the category of other highly reactive oxidizing species, and that to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was created, it would be a matter of choice to have chosen Nitrogen instead of oxygen as a reactive oxidizing agent to remove any organic moieties. For this reason, the Examiner takes the position that the two are equivalent. Pertaining to claim #7, Fair shows, a method of claim 1, wherein said atomic layer deposition is performed by alternating steps of: exposing the substrate to a ruthenium precursor for a first predetermined period of time (column 9, lines 45-52); and exposing the substrate to a plasma for a second predetermined time (column 10, lines 24-36). Pertaining to claim #10, Fair shows, a method, wherein the ruthenium precursor is selected from the group consisting of: ruthenium cycïopentadienyi, bis (ethylcyclopentadinyll) ruthenium); and ((2,4-dimethylpentadienyl) ethylcyclopentadienyl) ruthenium) plasma (column 9, lines 55-67; column 10, lines 1-8). Pertaining to claim #11, Fair shows, a method, wherein the ruthenium precursor is carried in a carrier gas (column 9, lines 55-67; column 10, lines 1-8). Pertaining to claim #12, Fair shows. a method, wherein the carrier gas is argon (column 9, lines 45-52). Pertaining to claim #15, Fair shows, method, wherein said exposing of said substrate to said plasma is performed for substantially 10 minutes or longer (column 10, lines 24-44). Pertaining to claim #16, Fair shows, a method, wherein said substrate is heated to a temperature of between 200 and 400° C (column 9, lines 16-34; column 11, lines 37-52). Pertaining to claim #17, Fair shows, a method, wherein said substrate is heated to a temperature of substantially 350° C (fig. #5;

column 11, lines 37-52). Pertaining to claim #18, Fair shows, a method wherein said ruthenium is deposited directly on said substrate without use of a seed layer (column 5, lines 37-44). Pertaining to claim #19, Fair shows, a method for depositing ruthenium on a substrate. comprising (abstract; column 5, lines 37-44): performing plasma enhanced atomic layer deposition of ruthenium on the substrate using a ruthenium precursor and a plasma to form a thin film of ruthenium (column 4, lines 16-27; column 6, lines 13-25); and depositing ruthenium on the thin film by thermal Atomic layer deposition (column 9, lines 55-67; column 10, lines 1-8). Pertaining to claim #20, Fair shows, method, wherein said plasma is a hydrogen plasma (column 3, lines 41-46; column 10, lines 45-58). Pertaining to claim #21, Fair shows, a method, wherein said atomic layer deposition is performed by alternating steps of: exposing the substrate to a ruthenium precursor for a first predetermined period of time (column 9, lines 45-52); and exposing the substrate to a plasma for a second predetermined time (column 10, lines 24-36). Pertaining to claim #24, Fair shows, a method, wherein the ruthenium precursor is selected from the group consisting of: ruthenium cyclopentadienyi, bis (ethylcyclopentadinyil) ruthenium); and (2, 4 dimethylpentadienyllethylcyclopentadienyl) ruthenium). (column 9, lines 55-67; column 10, lines 1-8). Pertaining to claim #25, Fair shows, method, wherein the ruthenium precursor is carried in a carrier gas (column 9, lines 55-67; column 10, lines 1-8). Pertaining to claim #26, Fair shows, a method wherein the carrier gas is argon (column 9, lines 45-52). Pertaining to claim #29, Fair shows, a method, wherein said substrate is heated to a temperature of between 200 and 400° C (column 9, lines 16-34; column 11, lines 37-52). Pertaining to claim #30, Fair shows a method, wherein said substrate is heated to a temperature of substantially 350° C (fig. 5; column 11, lines 37-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/724,438

Art Unit: 2812

Fair fails to show, with respect to claim #1, exposing the substrate to a plasma, which causes a high concentration of nucleation sites to be formed on the substrate, thus forming an exposed substrate;

Buskirk teaches, in a similar method, pertaining to claim #1, exposing the substrate to a plasma, which causes a high concentration of nucleation sites to be formed on the substrate, thus forming an exposed substrate (column 2, lines 66, 67; column 3, lines 1-28; column 5, lines 52-67; column 6, lines 1-6 & 16-30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, with respect to claims #1, to include exposing a substrate to a plasma, which causes a high concentration of nucleation sites to be formed on the substrate, in the method of Fair, as taught by Buskirk, with the motivation that having high density nucleation sites is essential to attaining deposition of films with controllable composition and uniform morphology.

Claims 8, 9, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fair (U.S. Pat. No.6,849,122 B1, Patented 02/01/05, Filed 03/07/02) and Buskirk et al. (U.S. Pat. No.6,010,744, Patented 01/04/00, Filed 12/23/97), as applied to claims 1-7, 10-12, 15-21, 24-26, 29 and 30 in the USC 103(a) rejection above, in further view of Ruzic (U.S. Pat. No.6,841,044, Patented 01/11/05, Filed 11/04/02).

Fair and Buskirk substantially shows the claimed invention in the corresponding text and drawings listed in the USC 103 rejection above.

Fair and Buskirk fail to teach, with respect to claim #8 and 22, a method, further comprising evacuating the ruthenium precursor and the plasma between successive steps, and with respect to claim #9 and 23, wherein the evacuation is done for a period of substantially two seconds.

Page 8

Pertaining to claim #8, Ruzic shows, a method, further comprising evacuating the ruthenium precursor and the plasma between successive steps (column 6, lines 61-67). Pertaining to claim #9, Ruzic shows, a method, wherein the evacuating is done for a period of substantially two seconds (column 6, lines 61-67). Pertaining to claim #22, Ruzic shows, a method, further comprising evacuating the ruthenium precursor and the plasma between successive steps (column 6, lines 61-67). Pertaining to claim #23, Ruzic shows, a method, wherein the evacuating is done for a period of substantially two seconds (column 6, lines 61-67). The Examiner takes notice that Ruzic does not explicitly state evacuating the ruthenium precursor and plasma between successive steps for a period of two seconds. However, Ruzic teaches a method wherein a pump (item #167) is employed to evacuate the chamber when necessary, as for example, between cycles of an ALD process. The Examiner takes the position, with respect to claims #8 and 22, that Ruzic's method of being able to evacuate the chamber, when ever necessary, as between cycles of a process, affords the user the choice of when, or between which procedures, to evacuate. Also, the disclosure fails to point out any criticality of the evacuation to the invention, other than to mention on page 5, lines 25-27, that the process takes place. The Examiner takes the position that the choice of when to evacuate the chamber is incessantly available; thus, the two are equivalent. Also, in light of the disclosures description of the amount of time to "pump out", found on page 10, lines 16-21, where the applicant states that

Application/Control Number: 10/724,438

Art Unit: 2812

"these conditions are provided only by way of example", with respect to claims 9 and 23, the

Examiner takes the position that the choice of how long to run the evacuation of the chamber is

Page 9

also a matter of user choice.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the

invention was made, with respect to claims #8, 9, 22 and 23, to include evacuating the

ruthenium precursor and the plasma between successive steps, where the evacuations is done for

a period of substantially two seconds, in the method of Fair and Buskirk, as taught by Ruzic,

with the motivation that evacuating the chamber between successive steps for any amount of

time necessary to clean the chamber, would prevent contamination by components from a

previous process.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 14, 27 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims, pending further search.

Claims #13 and 27

✓ First predetermined period of time is 4 seconds.

Claims #14 and 28

✓ Second predetermined period of time is 2 seconds.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure; Sophie et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,878,628), Lee et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,696,363), Papa Rao et al. (U.S. No. 2005/0048776), Papa Kim et al. (U.S. No. 6,812,042), Shang et al. (U.S. No. 6,887,776), Soininen et al. (U.S. No. 6,887,795), OHtani et al. (U.S. No. 6,589,824), Girardie et al. (U.S. No. 6,727,138), Anhdideh et al. (U.S. No. 6,664,168).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' Stevenson whose telephone number is (571) 272 1683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael S. Lebentritt, can be reached on (571) 272 1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308 7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956. Also, the proceeding numbers can be used to fax information through the Right Fax system;

(703) 872-9306

Andre' Stevenson

05/12/05

MICHAEL LEBENTHITI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER